

## Analysis of a single pile settlement


Program: Pile

File: Demo\_manual\_14.gpi

The objective of this engineering manual is to explain the application of the GEO 5 – PILE program for the analysis of the settlement of a single pile in a specified practical problem.

### Problem specification

The general specification of the problem is described in chapter 12. *Pile foundations – Introduction*. All analyses of the single pile settlement shall be carried out on the foundations of the previous problem presented in chapter 13. *Analysis of vertical load-bearing capacity of a single pile*.



*Problem specification chart – single pile*

### Solution

We will use the GEO 5 – Piles program to analyse this problem. In the text below we will describe the solution to this problem step by step.

In this analysis we will calculate the settlement of a single pile using the following methods:


- *linear settlement theory* (according to prof. **Poulos**)
- *nonlinear settlement theory* (according to **Masopust**)

The **linear loading curve** (solution according to Poulos) is determined from the results of the calculation of the vertical bearing capacity of the pile. The fundamental input into the calculation comprises of **the pile skin bearing capacity and pile base bearing capacity values** –  $R_s$  and  $R_b$ . These values are obtained from the previous analysis of the vertical bearing capacity of a single pile depending on the method applied (NAVFAC DM 7.2, Effective Stress, CSN 73 1002 or Tomlinson).

The **nonlinear loading curve** (solution according to Masopust) is based on the specification using the so-called **regression coefficients**. The result is, therefore, independent of the load-bearing capacity analysis methods and can, therefore, even be used to determine the vertical bearing capacity of a single pile when the capacity corresponds to the allowable settlement (usually 25 mm).

### Specification process: Linear settlement theory (POULOS)

In the “Pile” program, open up the file from manual no. 13. In the “Settings” frame, we will leave the analysis settings unchanged – we will use the “Standard – EN 1997 – DA2” setting, which is the same as in the previous problem. The analysis of bearing capacity will be done according to NAVFAC DM 7.2. We will also check the box “Do not calculate horizontal bearing capacity”. The linear loading curve (Poulos) has already been specified for this analysis setting.



“Settings” frame

*Note: The analysis of the limit loading curve is based on the theory of elasticity. The ground is characterised by the modulus of deformation  $E_{def}$  and Poisson’s ratio  $\nu$ .*

In the next step we will go to the frame “Soils” and check the deformational properties of soils required for the analysis of settlement, i.e. the oedometric modulus  $E_{oed}$ , or deformation modulus  $E_{def}$  and Poisson’s ratio  $\nu$ .

Soil (Soil classification)	Unit weight $\gamma$ [kN/m <sup>3</sup> ]	Angle of internal friction $\varphi_{ef} / \varphi_u$ [°]	Cohesion of soil $c_{ef} / c_u$ [kPa]	Poisson's ratio $\nu$ [-]	Oedometric modulus $E_{oed} =$ [MPa]
CS – Sandy clay, firm consistency	18,5	-/0,0	-/50,0	0,35	8,0
S-F – Sand with trace of fines, medium dense soil	17,5	29,5	0,0	0,30	21,0

Soil parameters table – Settlement of a single pile


Then, in the frame “Load”, we will define the service load for the purpose of analysing the settlement of a single pile. Click on the button “Add” and add a new load with the parameters as shown in the figure below.

Dialog window “New load”


All other frames will remain unchanged. We can now continue to the settlement analysis in the “Settlement” frame.

In the “Settlement” frame, we will specify the secant modulus of deformation  $E_s$  [MPa] for each of the soil types using the “edit  $E_s$ ” button.

For the 1<sup>st</sup> layer of *cohesive soil* (class CS) we will set the value of the secant modulus of deformation to  $E_s \cong 17.0$  MPa . For the 2<sup>nd</sup> layer of *cohesionless soil* (class S-F) we will assume the secant modulus of deformation  $E_s \cong 24.0$  MPa .




Dialog window “Input for load settlement curve – secant modulus of deformation  $E_s$ ” – CS soil



Dialog window – “Input for load settlement curve – secant modulus of deformation  $E_s$ ” – S-F soil


Note: The secant modulus of deformation  $E_s$  depends on the diameter of the pile and the thickness of each of the soil layers. The values of this modulus should be determined on the basis of in-situ tests. Its value for cohesionless and cohesive soils further depends on the relative density index  $I_d$  and the consistency index  $I_c$ , respectively.

Further, we will set the limit settlement, which is the maximum settlement value for which the loading curve is calculated. In this task, we will consider a maximum settlement of 25 mm.



“Settlement” frame – Linear loading curve (solution according to Poulos)

Then, we will click on the “In detail” button and in the dialog window, we can see the settlement value calculated for the maximum service load.




Results of settlement

For the vertical bearing capacity analysis using the **NAVFAC DM 7.2** the resultant settlement of the single pile is **11,3 mm**.


## Single pile settlement analysis: Linear settlement theory (POULOS), other methods

Now we will go back to the settings of the analysis. In the “Settings” frame, click on the “Edit” button. In the “Pile” tab for the analysis for drained conditions, we will first select the option “Effective Stress” and later the option “CSN 73 1002” for the next analysis. The other input parameters will remain unchanged.




*Dialog window “Edit current settings”*

Subsequently, we will get back to the “Settlement” frame, where we will see the results. The magnitude of the limit settlement  $s_{lim}$ , the pile type and the secant modulus of deformation  $E_s$  remain identical with those used in the previous analysis.




*Dialog window – “In detail” – effective stress method results*

For the vertical bearing capacity of a single pile determined using the **EFFECTIVE STRESS** method, the resultant settlement is  $s = 6.1 \text{ mm}$ .



*“Settlement” frame – Linear loading curve (according to Poulos) for the Effective Stress method*

For the vertical bearing capacity of a single pile, which is determined by the **CSN 73 1002** method, the pile settlement is  $s = 6.1 \text{ mm}$ .



*“Settlement” frame – Linear loading curve (according to Poulos) for the CSN 73 1002 method*

The results of the single pile settlement analysis according to linear theory (**Poulos**) dependent on the vertical bearing capacity analysis method used are presented in the following table:


Linear loading curve Analysis method	Load at the onset of mobilization of skin friction $R_{yu}$ [kN]	Total resistance $R_c$ [kN] for $s_{lim} = 25,0$ mm	Settlement of single pile $s$ [mm]
NAVFAC DM 7.2	875,73	1326,49	11,3
EFFECTIVE STRESS	2000,47	2303,4	6,1
CSN 73 1002	2215,89	2484,40	6,1

*Summary of results – Settlement of a single pile according to Poulos*

### Analysis of single pile settlement: Nonlinear settlement theory (MASOPUST)

This solution is independent of the previous analyses of vertical bearing capacity of a pile. The method is based on the solution to regression curve equations according to the results of static pile loading tests. This method is mostly used in Czechia and Slovakia. It provides reliable and conservative results for the local engineering geological conditions.

We will click on the “Edit” button in the “Settings” frame. In the “Pile” tab, we will choose the “nonlinear” option (Masopust)“ for the loading curve.




*Dialog window “Edit current settings”*



The other data remain unchanged. Then we will continue to the “Settlement” frame.

We consider the *service load* for the nonlinear limit loading curve because this is an analysis according to the limit state of serviceability. We will leave the shaft protection factor value at  $m_2 = 1.0$ . That means we will not reduce the resultant value of the vertical bearing capacity of the pile with respect to the installation technology. We will leave the values of the allowable (maximum) settlement  $s_{lim}$  and secant modulus of deformation  $E_s$  identical with those used in the previous analyses.

Furthermore, we will set the values of the regression coefficients using the “Edit a, b” and “Edit e, f” buttons as shown in the figures below. While editing is being carried out, the values of regression coefficients recommended for various types of soils and rocks are displayed in the dialog window.



Dialog window “Input for load settlement curve – regression coefficients a, b (e, f)” – CS soil

Input for load settlement curve

Input of parameters of the layer No. : 2  
Assigned soil : Sand with trace of fines (S-F), medium dense  
Layer beg. (from FG) : 6,00m  
Layer end (from FG) : 12,00m, layer thickness : 6,00m

Parameters: a = 62,00 [-]  
b = 16,00 [-]

Help: Regression coefficients input a,b [-]:

Rocks		
	a	b
Good rock	246	225
Fair rock	169	139
Poor rock	131	94
Very poor rock	97	108

Non-cohesive soils (Id = relative compaction)		
	a	b
Id = 0.5	62	16
Id = 0.7	91	48
Id = 1.0	154	115

Cohesive soils (Ic = consistency index)		
	a	b
Ic = 0.5	46	20
Ic > 1	97	108

OK + ↑    OK + ↓    **OK**    Cancel

Dialog window – “Input for load settlement curve – regression coefficients a, b” – S-F soil

Input for load settlement curve

Input of parameters below pile base  
Layer beg. (from FG) : 12,00m  
Layer end (from FG) : -

Parameters: e = 268,00 [-]  
f = 175,00 [-]

Help: Regression coefficients input e,f [-]:


Rocks:		
	e	f
Good rock	2840	1298
Fair rock	1616	1155
Poor rock	957	704
Very poor rock	988	1084

Non-cohesive soils (Id = relative compaction)		
	e	f
Id = 0.5	268	175
Id = 0.7	490	445
Id = 1.0	1596	1400

Cohesive soils (Ic = consistency index)		
	e	f
Ic = 0.5	198	150
Ic > 1	988	1084

**OK**    Cancel


Dialog window – “Input for load settlement curve – regression coefficients e, f”




“Settlement” frame – solution according to the nonlinear settlement theory (Masopust)

Note: The specific skin friction depends on regression coefficients “a, b”. The stress on the pile base (at fully mobilised skin friction) depends on regression coefficients “e, f”. The values of these regression coefficients were derived from regression curve equations determined on the basis of a statistical analysis with results from about 350 static pile loading tests in Czechia and Slovakia (for more details visit the program help – F1). For cohesionless soils and cohesive soils, these values depend on the relative density index  $I_d$  and the consistence index  $I_c$ , respectively (for more details visit the program help – F1).

The pile settlement for the specific service load is  $s = 4.6$  mm .



Results of settlement – nonlinear curve



“Settlement” frame – Nonlinear loading curve (according to Masopust)

Note: This method is also used for the pile load-bearing capacity analysis, where the program calculates the pile bearing capacity for the limit settlement on its own (usually 25 mm).

Total load-bearing capacity for  $s_{lim}$ :  $R_c = 1681.67 \text{ kN} > V_d = 1015.0 \text{ kN}$  **SATISFACTORY**

## Conclusion

The program calculated the pile settlement for the specified service load to be within the range from 4,6 to 11,3 mm (depending on the method used). This settlement is smaller than the maximum allowable settlement – the pile is satisfactory from the 2<sup>nd</sup> limit state point of view.